

The Stones of Felix Romuliana (Gamzigrad, Serbia)

Djurić, Bojan; Jovanović, Divna; Pop Lazić, Stefan; Prochaska, Walter

Source / Izvornik: **ASMOSIA XI, Interdisciplinary Studies on Ancient Stone, Proceedings of the XI International Conference of ASMOSIA, 2018, 523 - 536**

Conference paper / Rad u zborniku

Publication status / Verzija rada: **Published version / Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)**

<https://doi.org/10.31534/XI.asmosia.2015/03.02>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://um.nsk.hr/um:nbn:hr:123:190603>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-07-05**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[FCEAG Repository - Repository of the Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, University of Split](#)



UNIVERSITY OF SPLIT



DIGITALNI AKADEMSKI ARHIVI I REPOZITORIJI



ASMOSIA XI

Interdisciplinary Studies on Ancient Stone

PROCEEDINGS

of the XI ASMOSIA Conference, Split 2015

Edited by Daniela Matetić Poljak and Katja Marasović



Interdisciplinary Studies on Ancient Stone
Proceedings of the XI ASMOSIA Conference (Split 2015)

Publishers:

ARTS ACADEMY IN SPLIT
UNIVERSITY OF SPLIT

and

UNIVERSITY OF SPLIT
FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING,
ARCHITECTURE AND GEODESY

Technical editor:
Kate Bošković

English language editor:
Graham McMaster

Computer pre-press:
Nikola Križanac

Cover design:
Mladen Čulić

Cover page:

Sigma shaped mensa of pavonazzetto marble from Diocletian's palace in Split

ISBN 978-953-6617-49-4 (Arts Academy in Split)

ISBN 978-953-6116-75-1 (Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy)

e-ISBN 978-953-6617-51-7 (Arts Academy in Split)

e-ISBN 978-953-6116-79-9 (Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy)

CIP available at the digital catalogue of the University Library in Split, no 170529005

Association for the Study of Marble & Other Stones in Antiquity

ASMOSIA XI

Interdisciplinary Studies of Ancient Stone

Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference of ASMOSIA,
Split, 18–22 May 2015

Edited by
Daniela Matetić Poljak
Katja Marasović



Split, 2018

Nota bene

All papers are subjected to an international review.

The quality of the images relies on the quality of the originals provided by the authors.

CONTENT

| | |
|---|-----|
| PRESENTATION | 15 |
| NECROLOGY: NORMAN HERZ (1923-2013) by Susan Kane | 17 |
| 1. APPLICATIONS TO SPECIFIC ARCHEOLOGICAL QUESTIONS – USE OF MARBLE | |
| Hermaphrodites and Sleeping or Reclining Maenads: Production Centres and Quarry Marks <i>Patrizio Pensabene</i> | 25 |
| First Remarks about the Pavement of the Newly Discovered Mithraeum of the Colored Marbles at Ostia and New Investigations on Roman and Late Roman White and Colored Marbles from Insula IV, IX <i>Massimiliano David, Stefano Succi and Marcello Turci</i> | 33 |
| Alabaster. Quarrying and Trade in the Roman World: Evidence from Pompeii and Herculaneum <i>Simon J. Barker and Simona Perna</i> | 45 |
| Recent Work on the Stone at the Villa Arianna and the Villa San Marco (Castellammare di Stabia) and Their Context within the Vesuvian Area <i>Simon J. Barker and J. Clayton Fant</i> | 65 |
| Marble Wall Decorations from the Imperial Mausoleum (4 th C.) and the Basilica of San Lorenzo (5 th C.) in Milan: an Update on Colored Marbles in Late Antique Milan <i>Elisabetta Neri, Roberto Bugini and Silvia Gazzoli</i> | 79 |
| Sarcophagus Lids Sawn from their Chests <i>Dorothy H. Abramitis and John J. Herrmann</i> | 89 |
| The Re-Use of Monolithic Columns in the Invention and Persistence of Roman Architecture <i>Peter D. De Staebler</i> | 95 |
| The Trade in Small-Size Statues in the Roman Mediterranean: a Case Study from Alexandria <i>Patrizio Pensabene and Eleonora Gasparini</i> | 101 |
| The Marble Dedication of Komon, Son of Asklepiades, from Egypt: Material, Provenance, and Reinforcement of Meaning <i>Patricia A. Butz</i> | 109 |
| Multiple Reuse of Imported Marble Pedestals at Caesarea Maritima in Israel <i>Barbara Burrell</i> | 117 |
| Iasos and Iasian Marble between the Late Antique and Early Byzantine Eras <i>Diego Peirano</i> | 123 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Thassos, Known Inscriptions with New Data <i>Tony Kozelj and Manuela Wurch-Kozelj</i> | 131 |
| The Value of Marble in Roman <i>Hispalis</i> : Contextual, Typological and Lithological Analysis of an Assemblage of Large Architectural Elements Recovered at N° 17 Goyeneta Street (Seville, Spain) <i>Ruth Taylor, Oliva Rodríguez, Esther Ontiveros, María Luisa Loza, José Beltrán and Araceli Rodríguez</i> | 143 |
| <i>Giallo Antico</i> in Context. Distribution, Use and Commercial Actors According to New Stratigraphic Data from the Western Mediterranean (2 nd C. Bc – Late 1 st C. Ad) <i>Stefan Ardeleanu</i> | 155 |
| <i>Amethystus</i> : Ancient Properties and Iconographic Selection <i>Luigi Pedroni</i> | 167 |
| 2. PROVENANCE IDENTIFICATION I: (MARBLE) | |
| Unraveling the Carrara – Göktepe Entanglement <i>Walter Prochaska, Donato Attanasio and Matthias Bruno</i> | 175 |
| The Marble of Roman Imperial Portraits <i>Donato Attanasio, Matthias Bruno, Walter Prochaska and Ali Bahadir Yavuz</i> | 185 |
| Tracing Alabaster (Gypsum or Anhydrite) Artwork Using Trace Element Analysis and a Multi-Isotope Approach (Sr, S, O) <i>Lise Leroux, Wolfram Kloppmann, Philippe Bromblet, Catherine Guerrot, Anthony H. Cooper, Pierre-Yves Le Pogam, Dominique Vingtain and Noel Worley</i> | 195 |
| Roman Monolithic Fountains and Thasian Marble <i>Annewies van den Hoek, Donato Attanasio and John J. Herrmann</i> | 207 |
| Archaeometric Analysis of the Alabaster Thresholds of Villa A, Oplontis (Torre Annunziata, Italy) and New Sr and Pb Isotopic Data for <i>Alabastro Ghiaccione del Circeo</i> <i>Simon J. Barker, Simona Perna, J. Clayton Fant, Lorenzo Lazzarini and Igor M. Villa</i> | 215 |
| Roman Villas of Lake Garda and the Occurrence of Coloured Marbles in the Western Part of “Regio X Venetia et Histria” (Northern Italy) <i>Roberto Bugini, Luisa Folli and Elisabetta Roffia</i> | 231 |
| Calcitic Marble from Thasos in the North Adriatic Basin: Ravenna, Aquileia, and Milan <i>John J. Herrmann, Robert H. Tykot and Annewies van den Hoek</i> | 239 |
| Characterisation of White Marble Objects from the Temple of Apollo and the House of Augustus (Palatine Hill, Rome) <i>Francesca Giustini, Mauro Brilli, Enrico Gallochio and Patrizio Pensabene</i> | 247 |
| Study and Archeometric Analysis of the Marble Elements Found in the Roman Theater at Aeclanum (Mirabella Eclano, Avellino - Italy) <i>Antonio Mesisca, Lorenzo Lazzarini, Stefano Cancelliere and Monica Salvadori</i> | 255 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Two Imperial Monuments in Puteoli: Use of Proconnesian Marble in the Domitianic and Trajanic Periods in Campania <i>Irene Bald Romano, Hans Rupprecht Goette, Donato Attanasio and Walter Prochaska</i> | 267 |
| Coloured Marbles in the Neapolitan Pavements (16 th And 17 th Centuries): the Church of <i>Santi Severino e Sossio</i> <i>Roberto Bugini, Luisa Folli and Martino Solito</i> | 275 |
| Roman and Early Byzantine Sarcophagi of Calcitic Marble from Thasos in Italy: Ostia and Siracusa <i>Donato Attanasio, John J. Herrmann, Robert H. Tykot and Annewies van den Hoek</i> | 281 |
| Revisiting the Origin and Destination of the Late Antique Marzamemi 'Church Wreck' Cargo <i>Justin Leidwanger, Scott H. Pike and Andrew Donnelly</i> | 291 |
| The Marbles of the Sculptures of Felix Romuliana in Serbia <i>Walter Prochaska and Maja Živić</i> | 301 |
| Calcitic Marble from Thasos and Proconnesos in Nea Anchialos (Thessaly) and Thessaloniki (Macedonia) <i>Vincent Barbin, John J. Herrmann, Aristotle Mentzos and Annewies van den Hoek</i> | 311 |
| Architectural Decoration of the Imperial Agora's Porticoes at Iasos <i>Fulvia Bianchi, Donato Attanasio and Walter Prochaska</i> | 321 |
| The Winged Victory of Samothrace - New Data on the Different Marbles Used for the Monument from the Sanctuary of the Great Gods <i>Annie Blanc, Philippe Blanc and Ludovic Laugier</i> | 331 |
| Polychrome Marbles from the Theatre of the Sanctuary of Apollo Pythios in Gortyna (Crete) <i>Jacopo Bonetto, Nicolò Mareso and Michele Bueno</i> | 337 |
| Paul the Silentiary, Hagia Sophia, Onyx, Lydia, and Breccia Corallina <i>John J. Herrmann and Annewies van den Hoek</i> | 345 |
| Incrustations from Colonia Ulpia Traiana (Near Modern Xanten, Germany) <i>Vilma Ruppiniè and Ulrich Schüssler</i> | 351 |
| Stone Objects from Vindobona (Austria) – Petrological Characterization and Provenance of Local Stone in a Historico-Economical Setting <i>Andreas Rohatsch, Michaela Kronberger, Sophie Insulander, Martin Mosser and Barbara Hodits</i> | 363 |
| Marbles Discovered on the Site of the Forum of Vaison-la-Romaine (Vaucluse, France): Preliminary Results <i>Elsa Roux, Jean-Marc Mignon, Philippe Blanc and Annie Blanc</i> | 373 |
| Updated Characterisation of White Saint-Béat Marble. Discrimination Parameters from Classical Marbles <i>Hernando Royo Plumed, Pilar Lapeunte, José Antonio Cuchí, Mauro Brillì and Marie-Claire Savin</i> | 379 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Grey and Greyish Banded Marbles from the Estremoz Anticline in Lusitania <i>Pilar Lapuente, Trinidad Nogales-Basarrate, Hernando Royo Plumed, Mauro Brilli and Marie-Claire Savin</i> | 391 |
| New Data on Spanish Marbles: the Case of <i>Gallaecia</i> (NW Spain) <i>Anna Gutiérrez García-M., Hernando Royo Plumed and Silvia González Soutelo</i> | 401 |
| A New Roman Imperial Relief Said to Be from Southern Spain: Problems of Style, Iconography, and Marble Type in Determining Provenance <i>John Pollini, Pilar Lapuente, Trinidad Nogales-Basarrate and Jerry Podany</i> | 413 |
| Reuse of the <i>Marmorata</i> from the Late Roman Palatial Building at Carranque (Toledo, Spain) in the Visigothic Necropolis <i>Virginia García-Entero, Anna Gutiérrez García-M. and Sergio Vidal Álvarez</i> | 427 |
| Imperial Porphyry in Roman Britain <i>David F. Williams</i> | 435 |
| Recycling of Marble: Apollonia/Sozousa/Arsuf (Israel) as a Case Study <i>Moshe Fischer, Dimitris Tambakopoulos and Yannis Maniatis</i> | 443 |
| Thasian Connections Overseas: Sculpture in the Cyrene Museum (Libya) Made of Dolomitic Marble from Thasos <i>John J. Herrmann and Donato Attanasio</i> | 457 |
| Marble on Rome's Southwestern Frontier: Thamugadi and Lambaesis <i>Robert H. Tykot, Ouahiba Bouzidi, John J. Herrmann and Annewies van den Hoek</i> | 467 |
| Marble and Sculpture at Lepcis Magna (Tripolitania, Libya): a Preliminary Study Concerning Origin and Workshops <i>Luisa Musso, Laura Buccino, Matthias Bruno, Donato Attanasio and Walter Prochaska</i> | 481 |
| The Pentelic Marble in the Carnegie Museum of Art Hall of Sculpture, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania <i>Albert D. Kollar</i> | 491 |
| Analysis of Classical Marble Sculptures in the Michael C. Carlos Museum, Emory University, Atlanta <i>Robert H. Tykot, John J. Herrmann, Renée Stein, Jasper Gaunt, Susan Blevins and Anne R. Skinner</i> | 501 |
| 3. PROVENANCE IDENTIFICATION II: (OTHER STONES) | |
| Aphrodisias and the Regional Marble Trade. The <i>Scaenae Frons</i> of the Theatre at Nysa <i>Natalia Toma</i> | 513 |
| The Stones of Felix Romuliana (Gamzigrad, Serbia) <i>Bojan Djurić, Divna Jovanović, Stefan Pop Lazić and Walter Prochaska</i> | 523 |
| Aspects of Characterisation of Stone Monuments from Southern Pannonia <i>Branka Migotti</i> | 537 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| The Budakalász Travertine Production <i>Bojan Djurić, Sándor Kele and Igor Rižnar</i> | 545 |
| Stone Monuments from Carnuntum and Surrounding Areas (Austria) – Petrological Characterization and Quarry Location in a Historical Context <i>Gabrielle Kremer, Isabella Kitz, Beatrix Moshhammer, Maria Heinrich and Erich Draganits</i> | 557 |
| Espejón Limestone and Conglomerate (Soria, Spain): Archaeometric Characterization, Quarrying and Use in Roman Times <i>Virginia García-Entero, Anna Gutiérrez García-M, Sergio Vidal Álvarez, María J. Peréx Agorreta and Eva Zarco Martínez</i> | 567 |
| The Use of Alcover Stone in Roman Times (<i>Tarraco, Hispania Citerior</i>). Contributions to the <i>Officina Lapidaria Tarraconensis</i> <i>Diana Gorostidi Pi, Jordi López Vilar and Anna Gutiérrez García-M.</i> | 577 |
| 4. ADVANCES IN PROVENANCE TECHNIQUES, METHODOLOGIES AND DATABASES | |
| Grainautline – a Supervised Grain Boundary Extraction Tool Supported by Image Processing and Pattern Recognition <i>Kristóf Csorba, Lilla Barancsuk, Balázs Székely and Judit Zöldföldi</i> | 587 |
| A Database and GIS Project about Quarrying, Circulation and Use of Stone During the Roman Age in <i>Regio X - Venetia et Histria</i> . The Case Study of the Euganean Trachyte <i>Caterine Previato and Arturo Zara</i> | 597 |
| 5. QUARRIES AND GEOLOGY | |
| The Distribution of Troad Granite Columns as Evidence for Reconstructing the Management of Their Production <i>Patrizio Pensabene, Javier Á. Domingo and Isabel Rodà</i> | 613 |
| Ancient Quarries and Stonemasonry in Northern Choria Considiana <i>Hale Güney</i> | 621 |
| Polychromy in Larisaeon Quarries and its Relation to Architectural Conception <i>Gizem Mater and Ertunç Denктаş</i> | 633 |
| Euromos of Caria: the Origin of an Hitherto Unknown Grey Veined Stepped Marble of Roman Antiquity <i>Matthias Bruno, Donato Attanasio, Walter Prochaska and Ali Bahadır Yavuz</i> | 639 |
| Unknown Painted Quarry Inscriptions from Bacakale at <i>Docimium</i> (Turkey) <i>Matthias Bruno</i> | 651 |
| The Green Schist Marble Stone of Jebel El Hairech (North West of Tunisia): a Multi-Analytical Approach and its Uses in Antiquity <i>Ameur Younès, Mohamed Gaied and Wissem Gallala</i> | 659 |
| Building Materials and the Ancient Quarries at <i>Thamugadi</i> (East of Algeria), Case Study: Sandstone and Limestone <i>Younès Rezkallah and Ramdane Marmi</i> | 673 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| The Local Quarries of the Ancient Roman City of <i>Valeria</i> (Cuenca, Spain) <i>Javier Atienza Fuente</i> | 683 |
| The Stone and Ancient Quarries of Montjuïc Mountain (Barcelona, Spain) <i>Aureli Álvarez</i> | 693 |
| <i>Notae Lapidinarum</i> : Preliminary Considerations about the Quarry Marks from the Provincial Forum of <i>Tarraco</i> <i>Maria Serena Vinci</i> | 699 |
| The Different Steps of the Rough-Hewing on a Monumental Sculpture at the Greek Archaic Period: the Unfinished Kouros of Thasos <i>Danièle Braunstein</i> | 711 |
| A Review of Copying Techniques in Greco-Roman Sculpture <i>Séverine Moureaud</i> | 717 |
| Labour Forces at Imperial Quarries <i>Ben Russell</i> | 733 |
| Social Position of Craftsmen inside the Stone and Marble Processing Trades in the Light of Diocletian's Edict on Prices <i>Krešimir Bosnić and Branko Matulić</i> | 741 |
| 6. STONE PROPERTIES, WEATHERING EFFECTS AND RESTORATION, AS RELATED TO DIAGNOSIS PROBLEMS, MATCHING OF STONE FRAGMENTS AND AUTHENTICITY | |
| Methods of Consolidation and Protection of Pentelic Marble <i>Maria Apostolopoulou, Elissavet Drakopoulou, Maria Karoglou and Asterios Bakolas</i> | 749 |
| 7. PIGMENTS AND PAINTINGS ON MARBLE | |
| Painting and Sculpture Conservation in Two Gallo-Roman Temples in Picardy (France): Champlieu and Pont-Sainte-Maxence <i>Véronique Brunet-Gaston and Christophe Gaston</i> | 763 |
| The Use of Colour on Roman Marble Sarcophagi <i>Eliana Siotto</i> | 773 |
| New Evidence for Ancient Gilding and Historic Restorations on a Portrait of Antinous in the San Antonio Museum of Art <i>Jessica Powers, Mark Abbe, Michelle Bushey and Scott H. Pike</i> | 783 |
| Schists and Pigments from Ancient Swat (Khyber Pukhtunkhwa, Pakistan) <i>Francesco Mariottini, Gianluca Vignaroli, Maurizio Mariottini and Mauro Roma</i> | 793 |
| 8. SPECIAL THEME SESSION: „THE USE OF MARBLE AND LIMESTONE IN THE ADRIATIC BASIN IN ANTIQUITY” | |
| Marble Sarcophagi of Roman Dalmatia Material – Provenance – Workmanship <i>Guntram Koch</i> | 809 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Funerary Monuments and Quarry Management in Middle Dalmatia <i>Nenad Cambi</i> | 827 |
| Marble Revetments of Diocletian's Palace <i>Katja Marasović and Vinka Marinković</i> | 839 |
| The Use of Limestones as Construction Materials for the Mosaics of Diocletian's Palace <i>Branko Matulić, Domagoj Mudronja and Krešimir Bosnić</i> | 855 |
| Restoration of the Peristyle of Diocletian's Palace in Split <i>Goran Nikšić</i> | 863 |
| Marble Slabs Used at the Archaeological Site of Sorna near Poreč Istria – Croatia <i>Đeni Gobić-Bravar</i> | 871 |
| Ancient Marbles from the Villa in Verige Bay, Brijuni Island, Croatia <i>Mira Pavletić and Đeni Gobić-Bravar</i> | 879 |
| Notes on Early Christian Ambos and Altars in the Light of some Fragments from the Islands of Pag and Rab <i>Mirja Jarak</i> | 887 |
| The Marbles in the Chapel of the Blessed John of Trogir in the Cathedral of St. Lawrence at Trogir <i>Đeni Gobić-Bravar and Daniela Matetić Poljak</i> | 899 |
| The Use of Limestone in the Roman Province of Dalmatia <i>Edisa Lozić and Igor Rižnar</i> | 915 |
| The Extraction and Use of Limestone in Istria in Antiquity <i>Klara Buršić-Matijašić and Robert Matijašić</i> | 925 |
| Aurisina Limestone in the Roman Age: from Karst Quarries to the Cities of the Adriatic Basin <i>Caterina Previato</i> | 933 |
| The Remains of Infrastructural Facilities of the Ancient Quarries on Zadar Islands (Croatia) <i>Mate Parica</i> | 941 |
| The Impact of Local Geomorphological and Geological Features of the Area for the Construction of the Burnum Amphitheatre <i>Miroslav Glavičić and Uroš Stepišnik</i> | 951 |
| Roman Quarry Klis Kosa near Salona <i>Ivan Alduk</i> | 957 |
| Marmore Lavdata Brattia <i>Miona Miliša and Vinka Marinković</i> | 963 |
| Quarries of the Lumbarda Archipelago <i>Ivka Lipanović and Vinka Marinković</i> | 979 |

| | |
|---|------|
| Island of Korčula – Importer and Exporter of Stone in Antiquity <i>Mate Parica and Igor Borzić</i> | 985 |
| Faux Marbling Motifs in Early Christian Frescoes in Central and South Dalmatia: Preliminary Report <i>Tonči Borovac, Antonija Gluhan and Nikola Radošević</i> | 995 |
| INDEX OF AUTHORS | 1009 |

THE STONES OF FELIX ROMULIANA (GAMZIGRAD, SERBIA)

Bojan Djurić¹, Divna Jovanović², Stefan Pop Lazić³ and Walter Prochaska⁴

¹University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia (bojan.djuric@ff.uni-lj.si)

²Geological Institute of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia (djdivna@gmail.com)

³Institute of Archaeology, Belgrade, Serbia (stefanpo@gmail.com)

⁴Department of Geosciences and Geophysics, University of Leoben, Leoben, Austria
(walter.prochaska@unileoben.ac.at)

Abstract

The Imperial “retreat palace” Felix Romuliana is predominantly constructed of local and regional rocks. The commonest building material is hornblende andesite available on site and used most extensively for the second fortification. The dimension stones for different parts of the fortifications, for the palace proper and the two temples are of local volcanoclastic sandstone, brought from the quarry on the adjacent Magura Hill, and of regional sandy limestone of Sarmatian age, which was quarried north of Romuliana. The first fortification is built of local silty-marly limestone also used for the core of the two mausolea on Magura Hill. The tetrapylon on the same hill is constructed of lithic wacke. Mediterranean marbles were also employed in the palace, for column shafts, capitals, bases, entablatures and doorframes, as well as for the wall and floor veneering in the palace proper.

Keywords

Imperial palace, andesite, sandstone, limestone, Mediterranean marbles

von Herder³ and later by Felix Kanitz⁴. Since 1953, it has been systematically excavated almost without interruption⁵. The discovery of the inscription *Felix Romuliana* in 1984⁶ confirmed the site as *Romulianum*⁷ – *Romuliana*⁸, a place named after Romula, mother of the Emperor *C Galerius Valerius Maximianus* (c. 260 – 311). From 293 to 305, he held the position of Caesar and designated successor of *C Aurelius Valerius Diocletianus Augustus*, and was himself Augustus from 305 to 311. Within this short period (293 – 311), the heavily fortified *Felix Romuliana* was constructed⁹.

The palace (Fig. 2) is enclosed within thick fortifications constructed in two phases. The first fortification, probably from the late third century, had rectangular towers along its perimeter and polygonal ones flanking the west and east gates. In the first years of the fourth century, a new, more impressive fortification was erected along the exterior of the earlier one, with monumental polygonal towers, some of which survive up to 16 metres high. The plan of the fortified complex is irregular, particularly in its northern part¹⁰.

The interior of the palace complex hosts a number of buildings arranged around the main open space that is elongated trapezoidal in plan. This space is delimited in

The palace

The remains of the fortified Imperial “retreat palace”¹ near the modern village of Gamzigrad (East Serbia) extend over 4.51 hectares of open countryside surrounded by low hills. The palace is located close to the stream of Draganov potok, which is a small tributary of the Crni Timok River (*Timacum*), and in the centre of an important Late Roman mining zone² in *Dacia Ripensis* (Fig. 1). It was first mentioned as an important Roman complex in the mid-nineteenth century by Siegmund August Wolfgang

3 HERDER 1845, 20-21.

4 KANITZ 1861, 8-9; KANITZ 1868, 315-318.

5 MANO-ZISI 1956. For the bibliography until 1982 see SREJOVIĆ *et al.* 1983, and for the history of the excavations ŽIVIĆ 2011a.

6 SREJOVIĆ 1985.

7 *Aur. Vict., Epit.* XL, 16.

8 *Proc., De aedif.* IV 6, 19.

9 According to VASIĆ Č. 1995; VASIĆ Č. 1997, 149, it was constructed between 303 and 310. For different opinions see VASIĆ M. 2007 and v. BÜLOW 2016.

10 For the reconstruction of the fortifications and the residential complex see ČANAK-MEDIĆ 1978; for other constructions ČANAK-MEDIĆ, STOJKOVIĆ-PAVELKA 2011.

1 DUVAL 1997, 148; v. BÜLOW 2011.

2 PETKOVIĆ 2009.




Fig. 1. Geographical context of Felix Romuliana (map: Pleiades)




Fig. 2. Map of Felix Romuliana: 1 second fortification, 2 first fortification, 3 main residential complex, 4 tetrastyle prostyle temple, 5 temple of Jupiter, 6 baths, 7 mausolea and tumuli, 8 tetrapylon, 9 presumed hornblende andesite quarry, 10 presumed silty-marly limestone quarry (courtesy of Archaeological Institute, Belgrade)

the west by the façade of the luxurious residential complex, in the south by the long north wall of the portico around the main temple of Jupiter and Hercules (*templum cum porticibus*)¹¹, and in the north by the portico of a long building with a vestibule and a series of rooms. The central axis connects the main, east entrance to the palace with the architecturally enhanced entrance into the residential area¹². Most of the rooms in this area were paved with mosaics¹³, while the floors in the central part of the main apsidal room and in the *stibadium*¹⁴ were made in opus sectile.

To the east of the residential area lies a small tetrastyle prostyle temple with an altar¹⁵. Further to the east is the second residential area, with a central courtyard and an apsidal hall. A large columnar building (called *horreum*) and a building of a cross-shaped plan¹⁶ are located west of the great temple complex. Behind the portico of this temple, baths were constructed in the SE corner of the palace¹⁷.

The road from the east gates of the palace leads eastwards to Magura Hill, where there are two tumuli and two mausolea. These are presumably the funerary monuments of the Emperor Galerius and his mother, Romula. Also on Magura, to the north of the funerary monuments, the architectural complex of Felix Romuliana is completed by a tetrapylon¹⁸.

The geophysical investigations conducted at the site in recent years have shown a series of previously unknown built structures¹⁹. These include a settlement to the north of the palace, which is also enclosed within walls, but these are far less impressive.

During Late Roman times, Romuliana was gradually transformed into a fortified settlement²⁰. The remains of the palace were incorporated into buildings frequently built of perishable materials such as wood, but also of reused brick and stone. In the fifth and sixth centuries, wooden houses and houses of mud-bound stone

were constructed on top of the mosaics in the residential area, the porticoes and the towers. Part of the palace was reused as Christian basilicas in the late fourth and again in the sixth century.

The rocks

The first to write more or less extensively about the rocks used for the construction of Felix Romuliana was Vidjko Jović²¹, who also assembled a small collection of the different Mediterranean coloured marbles from the site²². His determination of the rocks is correct, but lacks a comprehensive description and characterisation.

Local and regional rocks

Our surveys and analyses have confirmed that four types of local and regional rocks were used in Romuliana as building material. One is **hornblende andesite**, which was extensively used for the construction of the second fortification, both as rubble in its core²³ and as roughly dressed blocks for its exterior faces²⁴. In limited quantities, it was also used for the first fortification and for the buildings in the palace interior. The combination of this dark rock and the horizontal lines of red bricks creates a bichrome effect, the main colour scheme throughout the palace.

Hornblende andesite (Fig. 3) is a typical extrusive rock of middle-acid chemism that characterises the Timok Magmatic Complex (TMC). It has a holocrystalline porphyritic texture and is dominated by phenocrysts of plagioclase and hornblende, also present as microliths in the groundmass. Plagioclase occurs as 1-4mm large elongated prismatic crystals, rarely zonal. Hornblende occurs as basal and elongated crystals and exhibits green pleochroism. Small fragments of older volcanic rocks of the same chemism are rare. Opaque metallic matter and rare apatite, sphene and zircon are present as accessories (Fig. 4). The rock is highly tectonised.

It was most probably quarried just outside the NW corner of the fortifications, in the artificial depression within the bed of a small creek.

As opposed to the rest of the second-phase fortification, its SE corner was made of **volcaniclastic**

11 For the form see EINGARTNER 2005.

12 v. BÜLOW *et al.* 2009, 119 and 158-160, figs. 9, 38b.

13 SREJOVIĆ D., JANKOVIĆ Đ., LALOVIĆ A. 1983, 69-77; JEREMIĆ 2006; ŽIVIĆ 2011b, 128-139.

14 VASIĆ M. 2006.

15 SREJOVIĆ *et al.* 1978.

16 SREJOVIĆ 1985; ČANAK-MEDIĆ, STOJKOVIĆ-PAVELKA 2011, 85-87.

17 ČANAK-MEDIĆ, STOJKOVIĆ-PAVELKA 2011, 100-102.

18 SREJOVIĆ, VASIĆ 1994a; SREJOVIĆ, VASIĆ 1994b; POPOVIĆ 2011.

19 v. BÜLOW *et al.* 2009.

20 PETKOVIĆ 2011b; PETKOVIĆ 2011a.

21 JOVIĆ 1983; JOVIĆ 1987-88; JOVIĆ 1998.

22 It is held in the Zaječar National Museum.

23 Around 75% of the core is made up of stone and 25% of mortar. It is estimated that roughly 96 000m³ of stone was used for the second fortification alone.

24 This rock is locally known as *gvozdenac* (iron stone in translation) because of its hardness.




Fig. 3. Hornblende andesite, phenocrysts of hornblende (left) and plagioclase (right), NX (photo: D. Jovanović)



Fig. 4. Hornblende andesite, macroscopic appearance (photo: B. Djurić)

sandstone from Magura Hill. Elsewhere in the complex, this rock was used for the lower courses of the walls and towers, the cornerstones of the towers, the arches of the gateways, as well as the architectural elements (Corinthian capitals, fluted columns and relief-decorated pilasters) above the gateways of both fortifications (Fig. 5), the interior arcades as well as the decorative parts (entablature, door frame) and roof tiles of the small tetrastyle prostyle temple. Inside the residential area, we find it in the lower courses of the peristyles in combination with limestone to produce the same bichrome effect as at the gates. Outside the palace, it was used for tombstones.

The volcanoclastic sandstone (epiclastite) contains grains typical of sandstone with an abundance of material deriving from volcanites (Fig. 6). Its texture is massive; it is dense and fine-grained, thus suitable for dressing and for sculpture. It may contain CaCO_3 . The groundmass is composed of volcanoclastics, silica and



Fig. 5. Architectural elements of volcanoclastic sandstone above the gateways (photo: B. Djurić)

sericite mixed with finely fragmented andesitic rocks and other grains. Unsorted, angular and subangular quartz grains predominate. Also present are plagioclase, pyroxene and hornblende (from andesite), unoriented sericite and muscovite. The groundmass is pigmented by opaque minerals. The fragments of different andesitic rocks are also unsorted and angular, and occur in different sizes. Grains of other rocks, such as claystones, are rare (Fig. 7).

The quarry of this rock was identified roughly a kilometre SE of the palace, on Magura, on the basis of wedge holes, which are also visible on a block in the core of the SW fortification (Fig. 8). We presume the overwhelming use of this rock in the SE part of the fortification to be in direct relation to the proximity of the construction site and the shortest transport route from the quarry.

Another rock quarried on the slopes of Magura is **silty-marly limestone**. It has been documented in two colour variants – reddish and greenish – and was only used in combination with bricks in the first-phase fortifications and later for several buildings dating to the period of Justinian the Great. It was, however, extensively used in the construction of the two Imperial mausolea on Magura, more precisely in the cores of their walls.

This limestone (pelagic mudstone-wackestone) (Fig. 9) is thin-bedded, stratified, fine-grained, with tiny




Fig. 6. Volcaniclastic sandstone (epiclastite), angular grains of quartz, plagioclase and feric minerals from andesite, NX (photo: D. Jovanović)



Fig. 7. Volcaniclastic sandstone, macroscopic appearance (photo: B. Djurić)

red and green coloured sericite flakes visible on the surface because of the fine dispersed ferruginous matter, which gives the colour and covers the main components. It is mostly made of microcrystalline calcite (micrite) mixed with clay. Very fine bioclastic and siliciclastic components are characteristic but unevenly distributed. The bioclastic component predominates and is represented by rare and poorly preserved pelagic microfauna, especially planktonic foraminifera (*Globigerinelloides*, *Whitenella*, *Marginotruncana coronata*, heterohelicids, *Globotruncana cf. G. hilli*, *Gl. lapparenti*, *Contusotruncana cf. C. fornicata*, *Marginotruncata gr. sigali*, *calcisphaeras* etc.), all Upper Cretaceous, more precisely Lower Senonian, in age²⁵. The microfauna is often fragmented, sometimes in small (millimetre-sized) clusters, in the form of mm-lenses or laminae. Very fine, but precisely undeterminable biodetritus is



Fig. 8. Wedge holes in the quarry and on a block from the fortification core (photo: B. Djurić)




Fig. 9. Planktonic foraminifera in silty-marly limestone (photo: D. Jovanović)



Fig. 10. Blocks of sandy limestone in the pediment of the temple of Jupiter (left) and in the wall of the west entrance zone (right), macroscopic appearance (photo: B. Djurić)



Fig. 11. Small Ionic capital of pure lumachella limestone from one of the fortification's entrance zones (photo: B. Djurić)

also present. A siliciclastic component (small silty angular quartz grains and sericite) is rare and dispersed. The rock belongs to the Timok Eruptive Area, where volcanoclastics alternate with marly-clayey sandstones, sandy-silty marly limestones, marlstones and other rocks.

The rock was presumably quarried at the foothill opposite the main east entrance to Romuliana and on the western slopes of Magura just below the mausolea for which it was used.

At the arched gateways, the volcanoclastic sandstone was combined with a very pale brownish **sandy limestone** of Sarmatian age. The latter was also used in the palace's peristyles for the plinths of the column bases, alternating with the dark grey stone to again produce a bichrome effect. The sandy limestone was also used as blocks for the main temple (Fig. 10), of Jupiter, and both mausolea on Magura, but also for six small Corinthian-style capitals²⁶ and for tombstones. The special, decorative variant of pure lumachella limestone (different *Mactra* sp.) was used to make the small Ionic capitals at the fortification's entrance zones (Fig. 11).

This sandy limestone (biosparite-biomicrite; rudstone) of Middle Miocene (Sarmatian) age forms the Wedge of the Dacian Basin. It is either solid or friable depending on the lithotype, porous, weakly stratified, white or pale yellowish in colour. As allochems, it contains abundant detritus of biogenic origin, more precisely fragments of shells composed of coarse calcite with rims of fine calcite (Fig. 12). Also present are rare small foraminifera. The terrigenous component consists of unsorted, medium to coarse-grained, subangular-angular quartz grains. Muscovite, plagioclase, quartzites, fine-grained sandstones and altered volcanic rocks also occur, as lithoclasts. The limestone is cemented by coarse calcite – sparite, in part pigmented by organic matter.

Sandy limestone of different lithotypes appears W of the Danube and Timok rivers, some 40 to 60km N of the palace. The exact quarrying site has not been determined.

The fourth rock used in the palace is pale to reddish brown **lithic wacke** (Fig. 13). Its blocks appear, albeit sporadically, in the faces of the second fortification, while it is the only material used for the construction of the tetrapylon on Magura.

It is a massive rock, poorly to well cemented, predominantly composed of fine to medium-grained (up

26 BREITNER 2011, Abb. 3.




Fig. 12. Sandy limestone of Middle Miocene age with fragments of *Mactra*, NX (photo: L. Gale)




Fig. 13. Lithic calcareous sandstone of Middle Miocene age (photo: D. Jovanović)



Fig. 14. Unfinished Ionic capital and fragment of a sawn slab from the palace (photo: B. Djurić)

to 2mm in diameter), unsorted, subangular to angular quartz grains of undulose extinction, with subordinate feldspar, plagioclase and microcline grains, as well as individual grains of biosparitic limestone (mostly with biogenic detritus – echinodermites? – as well as some unidentifiable forms) and scarce quartzites. The cementing material is CaCO_3 . This type of rock is known in the vicinity of Rgotina some 10km NNE of Romuliana²⁷.

Mediterranean marbles

Galerius' building program in Romuliana was an Imperial endeavour and therefore involved a number of white and coloured Mediterranean marbles popular in the Late Roman period. These were mostly used for architectural elements (columns, entablatures, door frames) and

interior decoration (wall and floor veneering, *opus sectile*). The unfinished sawn slabs found within the palace (Fig. 14) show that the stone for veneering was probably brought in as blocks and worked on site, while the unfinished Proconnesian bases and monolithic column shafts indicate preparatory work done at the quarry. In the centuries following the abandonment of the palace, the general lack of stones for lime production in the wider area of Romuliana caused the white marble and limestone of the palace's architecture to be reused for this purpose. As a result, only a small portion of the architectural equipment of the palace has survived, with the remains of the column shafts, column bases, capitals, architraves and roof tiles broken into small pieces prepared for the limekiln (Fig. 15). Also broken into small pieces were the columns of granite and porphyry, though the reason for this remains unclear.

Surviving in front of the entrance to the palace proper are parts of three roughly 7m high column shafts

27 The geological map (OGK 1:100 000, Zaječar sheet) reveals that the same formation has been documented in the area around the tetrapylon.



Fig. 15.
Broken pieces of
architectural elements
prepared for the limekiln
(photo: B. Djurić)



Fig. 16.
Ionic capital of
Proconnesian marble
from the temple of Jupiter
(photo: B. Djurić)

of *marmor Thessalicum* – *lapis Atracius* (Larissa)²⁸, two fragments of a similar column shaft of *granito rosso antico* (Aswan)²⁹ and two fragments of a roughly 5m high column shaft of *marmor Troadense* (Çigri Dâg)³⁰. The excavations at the east entrance of the second fortification also yielded small pieces of a column shaft of imperial porphyry (*porfido rosso antico*, Gebel Dokhan)³¹.

28 Of *patrimonium Caesaris*. See LAZZARINI 2007, 223-244.

29 KELANY *et al.* 2009.

30 YAVUZ 2014.

31 MAXFIELD, PEACOCK 2001; MAXFIELD, PEACOCK 2007.

Over a thousand pieces of white marble used for architectural elements have been documented, of which 67 have been characterised (38 fragments of Ionic capitals, 18 fragments of Corinthian capitals, 7 fragments of Attic bases and 4 fragments of column shafts). All column shafts are of Proconnesian marble. The column bases and the Ionic capitals from the main peristyle of the palace proper are of Pentelic marble, while the Corinthian capital associated with this peristyle is of Proconnesian marble. A small group of capitals was made of Thassos marble.

We also documented a few pieces of pink marble of unidentified, but non-Mediterranean provenance. They were used for architectural elements (including a roof tile fragment), which belonged to a small building




Fig. 17. Isotope diagram of the white marble samples from Felix Romuliana. The values for Proconnesian, Thasian and Pentelic marbles are presented as statistical 90% probability ellipses. The overlap of the former two prevents a clear assignment of individual samples

| | | Proconnessos | Thasos | Penteli | total |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------|
| No. of samples | Proconnessos | 84 | 2 | 2 | 88 |
| | Thasos | 5 | 72 | 0 | 77 |
| | Penteli | 1 | 0 | 86 | 87 |
| % | Proconnessos | 95.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 100 |
| | Thasos | 6.0 | 93.5 | 0.0 | 100 |
| | Penteli | 1.1 | 0.0 | 98.9 | 100 |

Fig. 18. Degree of correctly reassigning the quarry samples (in number of samples and in %) from the database to their quarry areas using the Mg, Fe, Mn, DS, Li/Na, Cl/Na, K/Na, Br/Na, I/Na, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ‰ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ‰ variables

somewhere in the palace. The same rock was used for the large slabs paving the area outside the entrance into the main residential area.

It is as yet not possible to reconstruct the different combinations of stones used for the columns and other architectural elements within individual buildings. In part, this can only be suggested for the main peristyle of the palace proper and for the main temple, where both the Ionic capitals (Fig. 16) and the corresponding column shafts are made of Proconnesian marble.

The floors in the rooms of the main residential area boast rich polychrome mosaics with figural and

geometric motifs, sometimes in combination with opus sectile. The latter was also used to decorate walls, though only a small portion of the slabs have survived. They do, however, suggest the prevalent use of *porfido rosso* (Gebel Dokhan), *cipollino verde* (Karystos), *porfido serpentino antico* (Krokees), *verde antico* (Larissa), *pavonazzetto* (Ischisar) and *giallo antico* (Chemtou), accompanied by *rosso antico* (Cape Matapan), *marmo greco scritto* (Cap de Garde and Ephesos), *marmor Claudianum* (Mons Claudianus), *breccia corallina* (Verzirhan), *breccia policroma della Vittoria* (Kozani) and five other coloured marbles of as yet undetermined provenance.

| SAMPLE | ARCHITECTURAL PART | 1 ST CHOICE | | | | 2 ND CHOICE | |
|---------|--------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|-----------|
| | | PROVENANCE | ABS.PROB | REL.PROB | DISTANCE | PROVENANCE | REL.PROB. |
| FRM-68 | column shaft | Thasos | 49.0 | 71.7 | 1.4 | Proconnesos | 28.3 |
| FRM-69 | column shaft | Proconnesos | 56.1 | 99.4 | 1.2 | Thasos | 0.6 |
| FRM-74 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 55.6 | 83.7 | 1.2 | Thasos | 16.2 |
| FRM 189 | column shaft | Proconnesos | 74.3 | 99.8 | 0.6 | Thasos | 0.2 |
| FRM 208 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 38.0 | 66.4 | 1.9 | Thasos | 33.6 |
| FRM 209 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 63.6 | 85.8 | 0.9 | Thasos | 14.2 |
| FRM 211 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 33.7 | 77.5 | 2.2 | Thasos | 22.5 |
| FRM 218 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 59.0 | 97.9 | 1.1 | Thasos | 2.1 |
| FRM 219 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 72.8 | 97.4 | 0.6 | Thasos | 2.6 |
| FRM 220 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 43.0 | 91.4 | 1.7 | Thasos | 8.6 |
| FRM 222 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 56.7 | 99.7 | 1.1 | Thasos | 0.3 |
| FRM 224 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 65.3 | 91.4 | 0.9 | Thasos | 8.6 |
| FRM 225 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 87.0 | 96.4 | 0.3 | Thasos | 3.6 |
| FRM 226 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 69.0 | 94.7 | 0.7 | Thasos | 5.3 |
| FRM 227 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 54.8 | 99.3 | 1.2 | Thasos | 0.7 |
| FRM 228 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 79.8 | 98.9 | 0.5 | Thasos | 1.1 |
| FRM 229 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 89.0 | 99.0 | 0.2 | Thasos | 1.0 |
| FRM 230 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 80.3 | 99.4 | 0.4 | Thasos | 0.5 |
| FRM 231 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 95.2 | 98.7 | 0.1 | Thasos | 1.3 |
| FRM 232 | Corinthian capital | Thasos | 40.5 | 75.0 | 1.8 | Proconnesos | 25.0 |
| FRM 234 | column base | Proconnesos | 80.6 | 94.6 | 0.4 | Thasos | 5.4 |
| FRM 235 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 51.0 | 85.3 | 1.3 | Thasos | 14.7 |
| FRM 239 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 85.9 | 98.6 | 0.3 | Thasos | 1.4 |
| FRM 240 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 61.3 | 83.8 | 1.0 | Thasos | 16.2 |
| FRM 241 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 81.4 | 94.2 | 0.4 | Thasos | 5.8 |
| FRM 242 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 98.3 | 99.3 | 0.0 | Thasos | 0.7 |
| FRM 243 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 93.8 | 99.1 | 0.1 | Thasos | 0.9 |
| FRM 244 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 36.8 | 96.7 | 2.0 | Thasos | 3.3 |
| FRM 245 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 47.4 | 90.4 | 1.5 | Thasos | 9.6 |
| FRM 246 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 81.2 | 93.1 | 0.4 | Thasos | 6.9 |
| FRM 247 | Ionic capital | Thasos | 37.1 | 58.8 | 2.0 | Proconnesos | 41.2 |
| FRM 248 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 49.9 | 95.9 | 1.4 | Thasos | 4.1 |
| FRM 249 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 37.0 | 98.5 | 2.0 | Thasos | 1.5 |
| FRM 250 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 77.9 | 97.4 | 0.5 | Thasos | 2.6 |
| FRM 251 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 98.4 | 98.2 | 0.0 | Thasos | 1.8 |
| FRM 252 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 14.6 | 98.1 | 3.9 | Thasos | 1.9 |
| FRM 253 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 84.7 | 94.3 | 0.3 | Thasos | 5.7 |
| FRM 255 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 75.1 | 98.7 | 0.6 | Thasos | 1.3 |

Fig. 19. Table presents the calculated statistical results and the assignment of each sample. The basic statistical parameters calculated are: distance of the sample under consideration from the centre of the ellipse that represents the quarry probability field (the central point of the ellipse expresses the average and hence most characteristic values of a quarry. The closer a point

is to the centre of an ellipse, the more likely is the provenance from that marble site); *relative (posterior) probability* of the sample to belong to some group within the assumption that it originates in any case from one of the selected groups. The relative probability values of the selected groups add up to 100%. The threshold is 60%. Low values indicate that sample's

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------|------|-------|-----|-------------|------|
| FRM 256 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 74.6 | 91.2 | 0.6 | Thasos | 8.8 |
| FRM 257 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 89.7 | 96.7 | 0.2 | Thasos | 3.3 |
| FRM 258 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 45.8 | 85.2 | 1.6 | Thasos | 14.8 |
| FRM 260 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 48.0 | 79.8 | 1.5 | Thasos | 20.2 |
| FRM 261 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 63.6 | 94.1 | 0.9 | Thasos | 5.9 |
| FRM 263 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 52.4 | 85.4 | 1.3 | Thasos | 14.6 |
| FRM 265 | Ionic capital | Proconnesos | 51.7 | 97.5 | 1.3 | Thasos | 2.5 |
| FRM 270 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 85.8 | 96.4 | 0.3 | Thasos | 3.6 |
| FRM 233 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 36.4 | 100.0 | 2.0 | Thasos | 0.0 |
| FRM 223 | column shaft | Thasos | 46.0 | 89.5 | 1.6 | Proconnesos | 10.5 |
| FRM-57 | column base | Penteli | 72.1 | 100.0 | 0.7 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM-58 | column base | Penteli | 90.5 | 100.0 | 0.2 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM-59 | column base | Penteli | 48.1 | 99.8 | 1.5 | Proconnesos | 0.2 |
| FRM-60 | column base | Penteli | 89.8 | 100.0 | 0.2 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM-61 | Ionic capital | Penteli | 71.4 | 100.0 | 0.7 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM-62 | Ionic capital | Penteli | 50.3 | 100.0 | 1.4 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM-66 | column base | Penteli | 2.4 | 97.2 | 7.5 | Thasos | 1.5 |
| FRM-67 | column base | Penteli | 0.9 | 100.0 | 9.5 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM 207 | Corinthian capital | Penteli | 18.2 | 95.0 | 3.4 | Proconnesos | 4.9 |
| FRM 210 | Ionic capital | Penteli | 31.3 | 100.0 | 2.3 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM 214 | Ionic capital | Penteli | 86.8 | 100.0 | 0.3 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM 215 | Corinthian capital | Penteli | 40.9 | 99.8 | 1.8 | Proconnesos | 0.2 |
| FRM 237 | Ionic capital | Penteli | 44.9 | 100.0 | 1.6 | Proconnesos | 0.0 |
| FRM 206 | Corinthian capital | Thasos | 68.9 | 99.5 | 0.7 | Proconnesos | 0.5 |
| FRM 221 | Ionic capital | Thasos | 38.6 | 81.0 | 1.9 | Proconnesos | 19.0 |
| FRM 236 | Corinthian capital | Thasos | 35.4 | 99.6 | 2.1 | Proconnesos | 0.4 |
| FRM 264 | Corinthian capital | Thasos | 75.9 | 97.9 | 0.6 | Proconnesos | 2.1 |
| FRM 259 | Corinthian capital | Proconnesos | 34.6 | 61.5 | 2.1 | Thasos | 38.5 |
| FRM 267 | Corinthian capital | Thasos | 46.0 | 86.7 | 1.6 | Proconnesos | 13.3 |
| FRM-63 | veneer | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM-64 | veneer | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM-70 | veneer | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM-75 | slab | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM-76 | slab | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM-78 | slab | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM-79 | slab | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM/12/23 pink | arch. element | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| FRM/12/24 | arch. element | unknown | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

assignment is in doubt between two or more groups or that the sample does not belong to any of the chosen groups; *Absolute (typical) probability* is a distance dependent parameter measuring the absolute probability that the sample belongs to the chosen group or, in other words, is a typical representative of the group properties. In the centre of the ellipse the absolute

probability is 100% expressing that the analysed variables of the sample completely coincide with the average numbers of the group. The threshold is 10%, corresponding to the position of a sample on the edge of the ellipse.




Fig. 20. The bivariate diagram with the two most powerful canonical factors of the multivariate calculation

Provenance analysis of the white marbles in the architecture of Romuliana

The marble analysis involved a total of 76 fragments of architectural elements (67) and veneer slabs (9). For geological reasons, the wider area of Romuliana is devoid of marble occurrences and the general petrographic characteristics and isotopic composition of the white marble samples pointed to Proconnesian, Pentelic and Thasian marbles. These were selected as reference groups and examined using a multivariate discrimination analysis based on the results of the isotope analysis, the trace element analysis of the structure bound trace elements and the chemical analysis of microinclusions.

The isotope diagram (Fig. 17) shows that the compositional fields of Proconnesos and Thasos samples overlap in a considerable measure, while that of Pentelic marble is clearly separated. The majority of the samples plot into the Proconnesos/Thasos area, preventing a clear separation and assignment of the samples on that basis alone. A group of nine slab and veneer samples, characterised by a very light O-isotopic composition, falls well outside these fields and a corresponding equivalent of quarry samples; it has as yet not been possible to determine the provenance of the marble used. A similar isotopic composition as these fragments has been observed in the architectural parts of pink marble from Felix Romuliana. The nearest source of pinkish marble is known in Dacia, near present-day Bucova and Ruşchița. We

investigated these marbles, but their isotopic features are markedly different from those of the Romuliana samples.

To overcome the problem of overlapping data, a multivariate discrimination analysis was performed using the Statistika and SPSS software packages. The best discrimination between the marble populations and the best reassignment was achieved when using the Mg, Fe, Mn, DS, Li/Na, Cl/Na, K/Na, Br/Na, I/Na, $\delta^{18}\text{O} \text{‰}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C} \text{‰}$ variables. Our table (Fig. 18) clearly shows the improvement in the attainable discrimination and a very high degree of separation of the datasets, with almost no uncertainties left.

The bivariate diagram (Fig. 20) with the two most powerful canonical factors of the multivariate calculation shows that the compositional fields of the considered marble provenance areas are largely separated when considering a larger number of variables. It has to be kept in mind, however, that the graphic display is only an approximation because a multidimensional system cannot be displayed in a bivariate diagram. The correct degree (numerical data) of assignment of a given sample to a certain population can only be achieved by a mathematical, statistical calculation and these results are displayed in Fig. 19.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BREITNER G. 2011: "Die Bauornamentik von Felix Romuliana/Gamzigrad und das tetrarchische Bauprogramm", in G. v. BÜLOW, H. ZABEHLICKY (eds.): 2011, 143-152.
- v. BÜLOW G. 2011: "Romuliana-Gamzigrad – Ort der Erinnerung oder Herrschaftsort?", in G. v. BÜLOW, H. ZABEHLICKY (eds.): 2011, 153-165.
- v. BÜLOW G. 2016: "The two defensive systems of the late Roman Imperial Palace of Romuliana-Gamzigrad (Dacia ripensis)", in R. FREDERIKSEN *et al.* (eds.): Focus on Fortifications, Oxbow, Oxford (in print).
- v. BÜLOW G., WULF-RHEIDT U., SCHÜLER T., OPELT M., BREITNER G. 2009: "Das deutsch-serbische Gemeinschaftsprojekt "Romuliana-Gamzigrad", Bericht über die Arbeitskampagnen 2004 bis 2007", *Germania* 87, 105-171.
- v. BÜLOW G., ZABEHLICKY H. (eds.) 2011: Bruckneudorf und Gamzigrad. Spätantike Paläste und Grossvillen im Donau-Balkan-Raum. Akten des Internationalen Kolloquiums in Bruckneudorf vom 15. bis 18. Oktober 2008. Römisch-germanische Kommission des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Kolloquien zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte Band 15, Österreichisches Archäologisches Institut, Wien, Sonderschriften Band 45, Bonn.
- ČANAK-MEDIĆ M. 1978: Gamzigrad, kasnoantička palata, Saopštenja XI, Belgrade.
- ČANAK-MEDIĆ M., STOJKOVIĆ-PAVELKA B. 2010: "Architecture and spatial structure of the imperial palace", in I. POPOVIĆ (ed.): Felix Romuliana – Gamzigrad, Belgrade, 49-106.
- DUVAL N. 1997: "Les résidences impériales : leur rapport avec les problèmes de légitimité, les partages de l'Empire et la chronologie des combinaisons dynastiques", in F. PASCHOUD, J. SZIDAT (eds.): Usurpationen in der Spätantike, Colloquium Solothurm/Bern, 6. -10. März 1996, *Historia-Einzelschrift* 111, Stuttgart, 127-153.
- EINGARTNER J. 2005: *Templa cum Porticibus*. Ausstattung und Funktion italischer Tempelbezirke in Nordafrika und ihre Bedeutung für die römische Stadt der Kaiserzeit, Rahden/Westf. (Internationale Archäologie Band 92).
- HERDER V. A. Ž. 1845: Rudarskij put po Srbii god. 1835, Belgrade.
- JEREMIĆ G. 2006: "Die Mosaiken von Gamzigrad, mit besonderen Rückblick auf die Darstellung des Dionysos", in Felix Romuliana: 50 years of archaeological excavations: papers from the international conference, Zaječar, 27th-29th October, 2003, Belgrade, 47-53.
- JOVIĆ V. 1983: "Kamen u gamzigradskim građevinama", in D. SREJOVIĆ, Đ. JANKOVIĆ, A. LALOVIĆ (eds.): Gamzigrad – kasnoantički dvorac, Belgrade, 181-185.
- JOVIĆ V. 1987-88: "Der kostbare Stein in der Architektur aus dem Zeitraum der Tetrarchie. Verwendung, Herkunft und symbolische Bedeutung", *Balkanica* 18-19, 123-130.
- JOVIĆ V. 1998: "Kamen u tetrahijskoj arhitekturi – primena, poreklo i simboličko značenje", in *Razvitak* 38, 134-137.
- KANITZ F. 1861: Die römischen Funde in Serbien. Wien.
- KANITZ F. 1868: Serbien. Historisch-etnographische Reisestudien aus den Jahren 1859-1868, Leipzig.
- KELANY A., NEGEM M., TOHAMI A., HELDAL T. 2009: "Granite quarry survey in the Aswan region, Egypt: shedding new light on ancient quarrying", in N. ABU-JABER, E. G. BLOXAM, P. DEGRYSE, T. HELDAL (eds.): *QuarryScapes: ancient stone quarry landscapes in the East Mediterranean* (Geological Survey of Norway, Special Publication, 12), Norway, 87-98.
- LAZZARINI L. 2007: Poikiloi lithoi, versicvlores maculae: I marmi colorati della Grecia antica, Fabrizio Serra Editore, Pisa-Roma.
- MAXFIELD V., PEACOCK D. 2001: The Roman Imperial Quarries: Survey and Excavation at Mons Porphyrites 1994–1998. Vol. 1, Topography and Quarries, Egypt Exploration Society, London.
- MAXFIELD V., PEACOCK D. 2007: The Roman Imperial Quarries: Survey and Excavation at Mons Porphyrites 1994-1998, Volume 2, The Excavations, Egypt Exploration Society, London.
- PETKOVIĆ S. 2009: "The traces of Roman Metallurgy in Eastern Serbia", in *Journal of Mining and Metallurgy* 45, 187-196.
- PETKOVIĆ S. 2011a: "Romuliana in the time after the palace", in I. POPOVIĆ (ed.): Felix Romuliana – Gamzigrad, Belgrade, 167-199.
- PETKOVIĆ S. 2011b: "Gamzigrad-Romuliana in der Zeit nach dem kaiserlichen Palast", in G. v. BÜLOW, H. ZABEHLICKY (eds.), 113-128.
- POPOVIĆ I. 2010: "Sacred-funerary complex at Magura", in I. POPOVIĆ (ed.): Felix Romuliana – Gamzigrad, Belgrade, 141-158.
- SREJOVIĆ D. 1985: "Felix Romuliana, Galerijeva palata u Gamzigradu", in *Starinar* 34, 51-65.
- SREJOVIĆ D., LALOVIĆ A., JANKOVIĆ Đ. 1978: "Two Late Roman temples at Gamzigrad", in *Archaeologia Iugoslavica* 19, 54-63.
- SREJOVIĆ D., JANKOVIĆ Đ., LALOVIĆ A. 1983: Gamzigrad – kasnoantički dvorac, Belgrade.

- SREJOVIĆ D., VASIĆ Č. 1994a: Imperial Mausolea and Consecration Memorials in Felix Romuliana (Gamzigrad, East Serbia), Belgrade.
- SREJOVIĆ D., VASIĆ Č. 1994b: “Emperor’s Galerius’s buildings in Romuliana (Gamzigrad, eastern Serbia)”, in *Antiquité Tardive* 2, 123-141.
- VASIĆ Č. 1995: “Chronological Relations of Palace and Fortification System of Gamzigrad”, in D. SREJOVIĆ (ed.): *The Age of Tetrarchs, Symposium 4th-9th October 1993, Scientific meetings LXXV, The section for Historical Sciences 24*, Belgrade, 313-323.
- VASIĆ Č. 1997: *Odbrambeni sistemi Gamzigrada*, Filozofski fakultet (PhD Thesis), Belgrade 1997.
- VASIĆ M. 2006: “*Stibadium* in Romuliana and Mediana”, in *Felix Romuliana : 50 years of archaeological excavations : papers from the international conference, Zaječar, 27th-29th October, 2003*, Belgrade, 69-75.
- VASIĆ M. 2007: “Felix Romuliana (Gamzigrad) – Palast und Gedenkmonument des Kaisers Galerius”, in U. BRANDL, M. VASIĆ (eds.): *Roms Erbe auf dem Balkan*, Mainz, 33-53.
- YAVUZ E. V. 2014: “Antique quarries of marmor troadense (NW Turkey): insights from field mapping and absolute dating”, in *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences* 23, 495-512.
- ŽIVIC M. 2010a: “Istorijat arheoloških istraživanja i konzervatorsko-restauratorskih radova na Gamzigradu”, in I. POPOVIĆ (ed.): *Felix Romuliana – Gamzigrad*, Belgrade, 15-19.
- ŽIVIC M. 2010b: “Umetnička ostvarenja u carskoj palati”, in: I. POPOVIĆ (ed.): *Felix Romuliana – Gamzigrad*, Belgrade, 107-140.